

PACMAN

Jon Clements

New England Vegetable & Fruit Conference December 18, 2024

UMassAmherst Extension Agriculture Program

No, I don't mean this!!! 😂





Precision Apple Cropload MANagement

- Precision pruning
- Precision chemical thinning
- Hand thinning
- **Result** achieve optimum economic crop load
- Most typically applies to tall-spindle system



• and higher value varieties (Honeycrisp, Gala, Fuji)

pacman.extension.org





Posts list

It's a wrap...

March 13, 2023 The last of the PACMAN show & tell's are posted on the WSU CAHINRS YouTube channel here: https://www.youtube.com/playlist? list-PLajA3BBVyv1zc9xkiCSP gj:rEjW zvJ4Yb These now include updates from the PACMAN engineering team, including: 14 – 3-D Imaging and Digital Twin for Specialty Crops (Yu Jiang, Cornell University) 15 – Crop Load Adjustment Based on Early Flower Detection (Long He, Rashmi [...]

Read more

THE FRUITLET SIZE DISTRIBUTION (FSD) MODEL: A HOW-TO GUIDE

March 6, 2023

LAURA HILLMANN AND TODD EINHORN einhornt@msu.edu Fruit set prediction models aim to produce timely estimates of fruilet abscission after thinner applications to guide precision crop load management. The time to generate a prediction after an application is important to facilitate grower decisions to re-apply thinners while they are still efficacious, avoiding expensive hand thinning operations. [...]

pacman.extension.org

The PACMAN Extension team includes:

- Jon Clements, University of Massachusetts Amherst
- Karen Lewis, Washington State University
- Mario Miranda and Craig Kahlke, Cornell University
- Philip Schwallier (retired), Michigan State University
- Long He and Daniel Weber, Pennsylvania State University

Be sure to see our <u>POSTS</u> for the latest outputs/outcomes of PACMAN.

PACMAN's research team includes:

Terence Robinson (Project Director), Lailiang Cheng (Co-Project Director), Miguel Gomez, Greg Peck, and Yu Jiang, Cornell University

Stefano Musacchi, Washington State University

Todd Einhorn, Michigan State University

Long He, Paul Heinemann, and Dana Choi, Pennsylvania State University

Tom Kon, North Carolina State University

Sherif Sherif, Virginia Tech University

Tory Schmidt, Washington Tree Fruit Research Committee

Chris Layer, MOOG Inc., Space Group

Roderick Farrow (Collaborator), Fish Creek Farms



Precision Crop Load Management of Apples: USDA-NIFA-SCRI SREP 2020-51181-32197.09/30/2019 – 08/31/2023.



Precision pruning

 Reduces number of flower buds to predetermined number using tall-spindle pruning rules and spur extinction (Robinson, et al., 2013. New York Fruit Quarterly, Volume 21, Number 2, Summer 2013.)

Precision Crop Load Management

Terence Robinson¹, Alan Lakso¹, Duane Greene² and Steve Hoying¹ ¹Dept. of Horticulture, NYSAES, Cornell University, Geneva, NY 13345 ² Dept. of Plant, Soil and Insect Sciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003

This research was partially supported by the New York Apple Research and Development Program.

poor and flower

bud initiation

for the following

year's crop may

be either reduced

or eliminated.

Consequently,

inadeguate

or

poor

rop load management is the single most important yet difficult management strategy that determines the annual
 profitability of apple orchards. The number of fruit that remain on a tree

"The economic impacts of achieving the proper crop load each year are large (often \$5,000-\$10,000 per acre) and justify a more intense effort to manage crop load to achieve the optimum fruit number each year. Precision Thinning is a new strategy that begins with defining the optimum fruit number/tree (target fruit number) then applying sequential chemical thinning sprays (with rates and timing guided by the carbohydrate balance model to predict thinning efficacy and the fruit growth rate model to assess thinning efficacy in time to allow re-treatment when needed) with the goal of reducing fruit number per tree to close to the target fruit number to optimize crop value and reduce hand thinning costs.

thinning will reduce profitability in the current year and result in inadequate return bloom in the following year. Over thinning also carries economic perils since yield and crop value the year of application will be reduced and fruit size will be excessively large with reduced fruit quality due to reduced flesh firmness, reduced color and a much-reduced postharvest life. Thus, management of crop load is a balancing act between reducing crop load (yield) sufficiently to achieve optimum fruit size and adequate return bloom without reducing yield excessively (Figure 1).

Economic Impacts of Crop Load

Calculations of crop value at various crop load levels using fruit size and yield as the main variables has shown in a number of experiments to that the relationship of crop value to crop load is curvilinear (Figure 1). At very high crop loads (unthinned Gala trees) fruit size is often very small but yield is very high. Crop value in this situation is almost zero since the value of the fruit is often exceeded by the packing and storage costs. When crop load is reduced to more moderate levels through thinning, then crop value rises dramatically even though yield is lower due to

NEW YORK FRUIT QUARTERLY . VOLUME 21 . NUMBER 2 . SUMMER 2013



Figure 1. Counter balancing responses of Gala fruit size and yield to crop load with the curvilinear response of crop value to crop load showing an optimum crop value at a crop load of ~8-9 fruits/cm² TCA.

larger fruit size, which has greater value. At some point crop value peaks and then with further reductions in crop load crop value declines due to lower and lower yield. Although fruit size continues to increase it does not compensate for the loss in vield. It is striking how narrow the crop value peak is in many situations. Identifying and then achieving this optimum crop value is often very difficult for apple growers. It is difficult for fruit growers to know the economic impact of not achieving the optimum crop load without having various levels of thinning each year to construct the curves shown in Figure 1. The difference between the optimum crop load and under thinning or over thinning can sometimes be a difference of thousands of dollars per acre. Thus growers often fail to capture the full crop value possible without knowing how much "money they left on the table". More precisely managing crop load will help growers achieve the optimum crop load and maximize crop value.

Management Approaches to Precisely Managing Crop Load

There are 3 management practices that have a large effect on crop load: 1) pruning, 2) chemical thinning and 3) hand thinning. In recent years growers have relied primarily on chemical thinning to adjust crop load with a lesser reliance on hand thinning to reduce labor requirements. In other countries hand thinning is still the primary means of adjusting crop load.

Precision pruning

- 1 bud per final fruit number? risky
- 1.5 to 2 buds per final fruit number? yes
- 3+ buds per final fruit number risk overcropping and biennial bearing
- 1.5 to 2 buds
- Tall-spindle rules; bud extinction
- Example: Honeycrisp, 80 apples per tree target, leave 120 to 160 buds (but see next slide)



Precision pruning

Ah, but there is a catch!

Honeycrisp: floral vs. non-floral buds?

We have a fact sheet for you!

• <u>HRT-Precision crop load</u> <u>management of Honeycrisp: flower</u> <u>bud identification and precision</u> <u>pruning</u>

umassfruit.com
Publications
Fact Sheets



Precision chemical thinning

- Pollen tube growth model
- Carbon balance model
- Fruit growth rate model



Pollen Tube Growth Model (PTGM)

- Note date of king bloom
- Measure style length
- Apply caustic thinner* when NEWA PTGM model shows king flowers have been pollinated
- * ATS or lime sulfur



Carbon Balance Model

- Apple carbohydrate thinning model on NEWA
- Timing and rate adjustment
- Solar radiation and temperature dependent
 - More light = more difficult to thin
 - Higher temperature (particularly at night) = easier to thin



Apple Carbohydrate Thinning Model (on NEWA)

	Max	Min	Solar	Tree Car Status	bohydrate s (g/day)	Accum 4°C DD since bloom	Thinning Recommendation L = Low Risk of Overthinning
Date (2022)	Temp (°F)	Temp (°F)	Rad (MJ/m2)	Daily	7-Day Weighted Ave	≥ 200 & ≦ 250	C = Caution D = Danger of Overthinning
May 16	80	61	19.1	-55.86	-27.59	106	Apply Standard Chemical L
May 17	68	52	19.2	-10.55	-28.16	117.3	Apply Standard Chemical L Thinning Rate
May 18	70	48	27.1	9.73	-35.6	128.4	Apply Standard Chemical L
May 19	56	50	3.4	-44.06	-38.96	135.9	Apply Standard Chemical L Thinning Rate
May 20	77	46	20.2	-9.7	-36.06	148.2	Apply Standard Chemical L Thinning Rate
May 21	88	62	18.9	-81.02	-30.47	168	Decrease Chemical Thinning Rate by 15%
May 22	90	66	21.4	-90.41	-15.05	189.3	Apply Standard Chemical L Thinning Rate
May 23	72	56	26.5	4.71	-6.72	202.9	Apply Standard Chemical L Thinning Rate

Fruit thinning (on RIMpro.cloud)



Fruitlet growth rate model

- Tag trees and mark clusters (5 x 14 = 70)
- Count flower clusters
- Begin measuring fruitlets at 6-7 mm
- Measure at 4-5 day intervals post chemical thinner application
- Number of apples per tree and % set

HORTSCIENCE 48(5):584-587. 2013.

Development of a Fruitlet Growth Model to Predict Thinner Response on Apples

Duane W. Greene¹

Stockbridge School of Agriculture, University of Massachusetts, Bowditch Hall, Amherst, MA 01003

Alan N. Lakso and Terence L. Robinson

New York State Agricultural Experiments Station, Cornell University, Geneva, NY 14456

Phillip Schwallier

Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824









- App (iPhone or Android) or web (malusim.org)
- Create an account on malusim.org
- Set up your variety(s) and block(s)
- Enter fruitlet growth measurements
- Review predicted fruit set, make chemical thinning decisions



	Locations ^{⊥j} ♥ ^c	extman@	gmail.co Help
Existing Locat	ions		
This Year	Last Year	All	
+	Add a Locatio	n	

Privacy Policy

-		
incel	Create Location	Done
Differer which r Locatio	nt fields are required, dependin nodels you want to run on this n.	g on
₩ = R ● = R ▲ = F	equired for Fruit Growth Rate M equired for Irrigation Model equired for Carbohydrate Mode	1odel el
Year		
2023	3	
Farm		
UMa	ss Orchard	
Block		
Row	13	
Block Row Variety	13	

Locations Cextman@gmail.com Help

This Year	Last Year	All
MCSO	gala (2022)	>
FF ever	crisp (2022)	>
MCSO - N	C140 - honeycr	i >
FF gala	(2022)	>
MCSO	fuji (2022)	>
FF hone	eycrisp (2022)	>



Build: 22-05-27

Privacy Policy

Weather Station

Select... 💧 🌤

9:3		al 🗢 💷
〈 Back	Location Details 9	Help
Run	Models	
	📥 Spray Records	
	🕁 Fruit Growth Rate M	lodel
	Irrigation Model	
	III Irrigation Record	S
~	Carbohydrate Thinning	g Model

Location	
UMCSO - NC140 - honeycris	p (2022)
Details	
Year	2022
Farm	UMCSC
Block	NC140

9:35	
Cancel Edit Fruit Growth Rat	e Done
# of Trees 5	
# of Clusters per Tree 14	
# of Fruitlets per Cluster 5	
Flower Clusters Counted per Tre	e
Tree #1 86	
Tree #2 88	
Tree #3 94	
Tree #4 148	
Tree #5 148	
Avg. Flower Clusters C 112	.8
Potential Fruit per Tree 564	
Target Fruit per Tree 60	





Spray Records

Spray dates are indicated by gray vertical lines on the graph above.

Date Stage Chemical

9:35 ... 🗢 📼

Date	Average Size	
flay 22, 2022	8.2	12
lay 25, 2022	10.3	14 •
ay 28, 2022	12.3	12 0
lun 3, 2022	14.1	14 0
Ð	Export Imp	ort
	Recalculate	

9:4

K Back Fruit Growth Rate Mod...

Measurement Date	5/22		
Mean of Top 15 by Di	ameter	11.4	
Mean of Top 15 by Di	ameter G	Growth	
Measurement Date	5/25		
Mean of Top 15 by Di	ameter	13.86	
Mean of Top 15 by Di	ameter G	Growth	3.2
Measurement Date	5/28		
Mean of Top 15 by Di	ameter	18.0	
Mean of Top 15 by Di	ameter G	browth	4.5
Measurement Date	6/3		
Between Measureme Diameter Mean of 15 by Diameter Grow Diameter Growth N Number of Fruit by <	easurem ents M All by Dia th Mea Number o Top 50%	ent Date ean of To ameter an of Top of Fruit by Predie	Days pp 15 by Mean of Top 50% by / > Top 50% cted % Setting

Fruit growth model (Ferri app)

- App, iPhone only (no website)
- No account needed, all data kept on iPhone
- Add variety
- Enter flower cluster counts
- Enter fruitlet growth measurements
- Review predicted fruit set/make chemical thinning decisions



Fruit growth model (Ferri app)

9:55	ull 🗢 🔲
K Back	
Select a Variety	
TFF Evercrisp	>
TFF Gala	>
TFF Honeycrisp	>
UMO Fuji	>
UMO Gala	>
UMO Honeycrisp	>

Manage Files

Back		Save
Add Nev	w Variet	y
Enter the V	ariety Name	
Choose the N	umber of Trees	
5 Trees	6 Trees	7 Trees
Choose Spilt o	or Full Tree	

Select a Variety Input the fruitlet size and ENTER button Note: For fruitlet size accuracy of 0.5 mm if 12 is entered 12.0 is stored, if 12. is entered 12.5 is stored

ENTER CLUSTERS button Input the cluster count and ENTER button TRASH button - delete last sample data

ADD VARIETY button Enter the Variety Name Choose the Number of Trees to measure Choose the Split or Full Tree option Note: For Split Tree option Clusters: 1-6 Tree Bottom, 7-14 Tree Top SAVE button - save file name and settings

MANAGE FILES button Select a Variety

9:59			ull 🗢 🔳		
K Bac	<u>k</u>				
Mo 5 Tree	di s	τι	ıe Jan 10 (S1)		
Tree	Cluste	er Fruit	Size (mm)		
1	1	4	5.6 Edit		
1	1	3	4.5 Edit		
1	1	2	5.0 Edit		
1	1	1	6.0 Edit		
Tree:1	Cluste	er: <mark>1</mark> Fruit	:5 Cluster Counts		
Tree:1	Cluste	er: <mark>1</mark> Fruit	:5 Cluster Counts Enter		
Tree:1	Cluste 7 4	er: <mark>1</mark> Fruit	:5 Cluster Counts Enter 9 6		
Tree:1	Cluste 7 4 1	er:1 Fruit	:5 Cluster Counts Enter 9 6 3		

Fruit growth model (Ferri app)

11:05	l 🗢 🗩
 Back UMO Gala 	Results
Modi	
TFF Evercrisp	
TFF Gala	
TFF Honeycrisp	
UMO Fuji	
UMO Gala	
UMO Honeycrisp	
	•

Data

Delete

11:0)6		l 🗢 🔳			
Back				Summary ሰ		
UM	IO G	ala				
FULL	TREE					
Samp	ole Nu	Imbe	r			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Avera	age A	pples	Per 1	Free		
364	126	201	107			
Avera	age N	umbe	er of C	luste	ers	
83	83	83	66			
Predi	icted	Set ('	%)			
	34.1	50.3	27.9			
Avera	age G	rowtł	n Rate	e (mn	ו)	
	4.93	4.02	6.61			
Drop	ping \$	Size:	15 m	m		
TREE	BOT	том	(clus	tere '	1-6)	
Avera	age A	pples	Per 1	Tree	,	
133	51	60	28			
TOFF	TOD	1-1				

T	REE	TOP	(clus	sters	7-14))	
A	vera	ige A	pples	Per	Tree		
2	231	117	143	78			



Gala predicted fruit set. Target was 80 fruit per tree, actual at harvest was 45 apples.



Honeycrisp predicted fruit set. Target was 70 fruit per tree, actual at harvest was 26 apples.



Fruit: HRT-RECIPE	- Predicting × + ~
ag.umass.edu/	'fruit/fact-sheets/hrt-recipe-predicting-fruit-set-using-fruitlet-g 🖞 🛧 💿 🖈 🗖 🚯 :
R ★ Bookmarks 🚯	Facebook 🜒 FileMaker WebDir 🤣 WECO-TNS 🗎 RECIPES 🗎 DSS's 🛛 » 🗎 Other Bookmarks
and Grape Notes	INGREDIENTS
lassachusetts State	1. 5 tall-spindle trees of same variety
	2. Flagging tape and permanent marker
ect with UMass	3. Flower cluster labels (<u>https://www.avery.com/products/labels/5201</u> &)
on Fruit Program:	4. 14 flower clusters per tree, times 5 trees = 70 flower clusters total
	5. Digital caliper
	6. Malusim app (<u>Google Play</u> 密 or <u>Apple Store</u> 密)
Podcast	7. or Fruit Growth Model (iOS only, search App Store on your iPhone)
	8. or Ferri spreadsheet (Ferri spreadsheet 2023 Master v 2.1.2 for predicting
ubscribe to	truit set w/ macros) and Perennia: Orchard Tools & app
Publications »	DIRECTIONS
	 Tag trees 1-5, count total number of blossom cluters per each tree, and determine desired crop load at harvest
	2. Tag clusters (1-14)
	3. Begin measuring fruitlets at app. 6-7 mm
	4. Measure fruitlets at 4-7 day intervals, entering measurements into Malusim app or Orchard Tools. Measurement interval will depend on temperature and/or chemical thinner application(s), both of which affect fruitlet growth rate
	5. Run fruitlet growth rate model in Malusim app
	6. Or export data from Orchard Tools and copy into Ferri spreadsheet and run fruitlet growth rate model. (A reminder, if using the Ferri spreadsheet, on each measurement date, the number of remaining clusters with fruitlets on each tree must be counted for the model run to be most accurate.)

7. Use predicted fruit set to determine need for further chemical thinning sp8. Enjoy!



UNCATEGORIZED

THE FRUITLET SIZE DISTRIBUTION (FSD) MODEL: A HOW-TO GUIDE

S By jmcextman 🛗 March 6, 2023

LAURA HILLMANN AND TODD EINHORN einhornt@msu.edu

Fruit set prediction models aim to produce timely estimates of fruitlet abscission after thinner applications to guide precision crop load management. The time to generate a prediction after an application is important to facilitate grower decisions to re-apply thinners while they are still efficacious, avoiding expensive hand



Hand thinning

- What can I say other than it is expensive?
- Can hand thin down to target crop load
- Do as soon as possible...

https://extension.psu.edu/apple-cro p-load-management-a-hand-thinnin g-gauge

Using the Equilifruit Disk To avoid variation, the disk should be used 3 cm from the trunk/branch collar



Arghh...Honeycrisp

- NAA at bloom
- NAA at petal fall
- NAA at 30, 45, 60 days after petal fall
- Why? Initiates flower bud development early, as soon as 30 days post-petal fall
- Also need to hand thin 30 to 45 days post-petal fall



Precision Apple Cropload MANagement

- Precision pruning
- Precision chemical thinning
- Hand thinning
- Goal: achieve optimum economic crop load
- But THERE'S GOT TO BE AN EASIER WAY???!!!



outfield.xyz





Vivid-Machines

The Vivid XV3 is the ultimate crop load management system for thinning, farm management, and yield.

vivid-machines.com







What are the hang-ups?

- Yield estimation and size distribution at harvest
- You can't image what you can't see
 - Occlusion
 - Fruitlets
 - 2-D canopies
- Cost???
- Actionable???









Image: Image and the second state of the se

2023: Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec
2022: Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec
2021: Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec



DEC 11, 2024

Funds available to aid specialty crop competitiveness

- B Gowers can apply for a federal program that provides \$2 billion to help the U.S. specialty crop industry
- f remain competitive.
- Applications are being accepted for the program. Eligible producers must submit applications and other forms for the Marketing Assistance for Specialty Crops (MASC) program at local Farm Service Agency offices. These offices are part of USDA Service Centers, which can be located at this link.

Applications opened Dec. 10 and close Jan. 8, 2025. Payments are capped at \$125,000 per eligible producer.

More information, including a fact sheet, about the process is available online.

